# Improvement of the Mechanical and Electrical Properties of Waste Rubber with Carbon Nanotubes

Waleed E. Mahmoud,<sup>1</sup> E. H. El-Mossalamy,<sup>2</sup> H. M. Arafa<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physics Department, Faculty of Science, King Abdul-Aziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia <sup>2</sup>Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, King Abdul-Aziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia <sup>3</sup>Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Tabuk University, Tabuk, Saudi Arabia

Received 17 April 2010; accepted 23 October 2010 DOI 10.1002/app.33650 Published online 22 February 2011 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com).

**ABSTRACT:** The effect of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) on the stability of the mechanical and electrical properties of recycled waste rubber was experimentally investigated. The stress–strain curves of the composites were studied. The results show that the toughness, the area under the stress– strain curve, of the recycled rubber increased monotonically as a result of the addition of CNTs. The modulus of the nanocomposites increased by 28 times when only 5 wt % CNTs was added to the recycled rubber matrix. The effects of the cyclic fatigue and hysteresis for the composites were also examined. The strain energy density, dissipation energy, and linear damage accumulation versus the number of cycles are discussed for all of the samples. The analysis of the results showed that the strain energy density increased by 15 times at a CNT concentration of 5 wt %. The electrical properties were measured for all of the samples. The results indicate that the addition of CNTs to the recycled rubber improved its electrical conductivity by more than two orders. © 2011 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Polym Sci 121: 502–507, 2011

Key words: rubber; recycle; carbon nanotubes; mechanical; electrical

# INTRODUCTION

Elastomers with a high electrical conductivity are critical for applications ranging from seals between pipes used for the transfer of flammable gases, electrostatic automotive painting, and electromagnetic shielding for mobile electronics. Traditionally, conductive fillers, such as carbon black, chopped carbon fiber, or metallic flakes are used.<sup>1–3</sup>

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs), as self-assembling nanostructures, have attracted a great deal of attention as model systems for nanoscience and for various potential applications, including composite materials, battery electrode materials, field emitters, nanoelectronics, and nanoscale sensors. The interest in CNTs stems from their unique structure and properties, including their very small size (down to 0.42 nm in diameter); the possibility of CNTs to be metallic or semiconducting, depending on their geometrical structure; their exceptional properties of ballistic transport; their extremely high thermal conductivity and optical polarizability; and the possibilities of high structural perfection.

The main purpose of introducing CNTs into polymer composites is to exploit the CNTs' inherent properties of stiffness, tensile strength, damping properties, flame resistance, and thermal and electrical properties.<sup>4–9</sup> Therefore, many efforts have been concerned with retaining the CNTs' large aspect ratio in the polymer matrix to achieve good interfacial stress transfer (surface modification) and to improve dispersion and alignment during composite processing.<sup>10,11</sup>

The reclamation of scrap rubber products, for example, used automobile tires and tubes, hoses, and conveyor belts, is the most desirable approach for solving their disposal problem. The recovery and recycling of rubber from used and scrap rubber products can, therefore, save some precious petroleum resources and solve scrap/waste rubber disposal problems. For such an approach, the aim of this study was to examine the effect of CNTs on the stability of the mechanical and electrical properties of recycled rubber.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

Car tire waste rubbers were collected. These tires were washed by distilled water and acetone many times to remove any dust and other wastes from them, and then, they were left it in sunlight inside a homemade glass room to dry completely. The collected waste rubbers were subjected to degradation by oxygen and ozone; this resulted in the characteristic cracking shown by very old rubber products. The cracking was accelerated by heat and

*Correspondence to:* W. E. Mahmoud (w\_e\_mahmoud@ yahoo.com).

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 121, 502–507 (2011) © 2011 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

light and was dependent on the mode of deformation of the rubber. This means that the collected waste rubber had a very poor hardness and, of course, no conductivity.

A two-roll mill with a length of 0.3 m, a radius of 0.15 m, a speed of the slow roll of 18 rpm, and a gear ratio of 1.4 was used to prepare such composites. The samples of the waste rubber compound were prepared according to the recipe shown in Table I.

During the mixing process, different ingredients were added as follows: the waste rubber was milled for 10 min, after which stearic acid, used as a coactivator, was added and mixed for 5 min. Zinc oxide, which was used as an activator to enhance the action of organic accelerators, was added and mixed for 7 min. Single-wall CNTs (purchased from Helix Material Solution, Richardson, TX, with a 3-nm diameter and 250-nm length) were added and mixed for 5 min at different concentrations (1, 3, and 5 wt % phr). Dioctyl phthalate oil was added and mixed for 4 min. Dibenzthiazyl disulfide (MBTS) as an ultra-accelerator, was added and mixed for 3 min. Phenyl-β-naphthyl amine, as an antioxidant, was added and mixed for 3 min. Sulfar, which was used as a vulcanizing agent, was added and mixed for 5 min. The sample was passed endwise 10 times through the mill at a 1-mm opening and, after that, was sheeted off at a 2-mm thickness. This process took about 8 min. The total milling time was fixed at about 50-55 min. The compounded rubber was left for at least 2 days before vulcanization.

The main purpose of vulcanization was to convert the essentially raw waste rubber into an elastic and dimensionally stable material and also to render its physical properties temperature dependent to a greater extent and to make it insoluble in liquids that would dissolve unvulcanized rubber.<sup>12</sup> The samples were compression-molded into sheets with a steel die, which had dimensions of a  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  m<sup>2</sup> area and a 0.01-m height. The vulcanization was conducted under a heating press (KARL KOLB, USA) at a temperature (*T*) of 423 ± 2 K under a pressure of 4 MPa for 30 min. The vulcanized samples were shelf-aged for 48 h at a temperature of 70°C in an electric oven before testing. The vulcanization conditions were fixed for all of the samples.

# Measurements

The stress–strain behavior was measured at room temperature with a material tester (Ametek, USA), which was connected by a digital force gage (Hunter Spring ACCU Force II, 0.01-N resolution, USA) to measure the stress forces. The force gage interfaced with the computer to record the obtained data. The stress–strain behavior was measured at a strain rate of 0.01 mm/s. For the electrical measurements, brass

TABLE I Ingredients of the Investigated Recycled Rubber Composites

	Sample				
Ingredient	W <sub>0</sub>	W <sub>10</sub>	W <sub>30</sub>	W <sub>50</sub>	
Waste rubber	100	100	100	100	
Stearic acid	2	2	2	2	
Zinc oxide	5	5	5	5	
CNT	_	1	3	5	
Dioctyl phthalate	10	10	10	10	
MBTŚ	2	2	2	2	
Phenyl-β-naphthyl amine	1	1	1	1	
Sulfur	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	

electrodes were attached to the parallel faces of the samples during vulcanization, and a digital electrometer (616 Keithley, USA) was used. The morphology and microstructures of the samples were characterized by field-emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM; JEOL, JSM-6700F, USA).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# SEM

Figure 1(a) depicts the SEM photograph for the asreceived CNTs. It was clear that the diameter of CNTs was about 3 nm and the length was up to 250 nm. Figure 1(b,c) shows the distribution of the CNTs in the recycled rubber matrix at two different concentrations, 1 and 5 wt %, respectively. At low CNT concentrations, the separation distance between the CNTs was very large and decreased as the CNT concentration increased. The aspect ratio of the distributed CNTs in the recycled rubber matrix was approximately 68. This value was less than the aspect ratio of the CNTs ( $\sim$  83) before mixture with polymer. This may have resulted from the destruction of the CNTs during the mixing process in the mill roll.

#### Stress-strain behavior

Figure 2 shows the stress–strain curves obtained with various amounts of CNTs dispersed in the recycled rubber matrix. The stress–strain curves were fitted by the Ogden model<sup>13</sup> and are plotted in Figure 2:

$$\sigma = \frac{2\mu_1}{\alpha_1} \left( [1+\varepsilon]^{\alpha_1-1} + [1+\varepsilon]^{-\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{2}+1\right)} \right)$$
(1)

where  $\mu_1 = \mu_o = E_o/2(1+\nu) = E_o/3$  for an incompressible material, where  $E_o$  is the Young's modulus at the small strain deformation and  $\nu$  is Poisson's ratio, respectively.  $\sigma$  is the applied stress,  $\varepsilon$  is the strain,  $\mu_1$  is the uniaxial shear modulus, and  $\mu_o$  is the shear modulus for nanocomposite.  $\alpha_1$  is a material

parameter that depends on the host polymer. The fitting parameters, which were derived from the Ogden model, for all of the samples are presented in Table II.

As shown in Table II, with increasing concentration of filler, a gradual improvement in the modulus was observed. The results were compared with the







**Figure 1** SEM photographs of (a) CNT, (b) recycled rubber/1 wt % CNT, and (c) recycled rubber/5 wt % CNT compounds.



Figure 2 Stress–strain characteristic curves for the recycledrubber-loaded CNTs. The dot lines are the fitting curves.

Guth reinforcement model.<sup>14</sup> The model for spherical reinforcing particles has the following form:

$$E = E_o (1 + 2.5 V + 14.1 V^2)$$
(2)

where E is the modulus of elasticity at each volume fraction V.

An alternative formulation accounted for nonspherical particles. For rodlike particles of aspect ratio f, the reinforcement was given by the following equation:<sup>15</sup>

$$E = E_o \left( 1 + 0.67 \, fV + 1.62 \, f^2 V^2 \right) \tag{3}$$

With *f* as an adjustable parameter, an excellent fit was obtained with f = 65 (Fig. 3). This result confirmed the SEM photographs for the filler rodlike shape.

# Strain energy density

The energy absorbed per unit volume (*W*) in the deformation of rubber composites to a strain is simply the area under the stress–strain curve and can be written  $as^{16}$ 

TABLE II Fitted Parameters of the Ogden Model for Stress–Strain Behavior

	Sample				
Parameter	W <sub>0</sub>	W <sub>10</sub>	W <sub>30</sub>	W <sub>50</sub>	
$\mu_1 (MPa) \alpha_1$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.30  \pm  0.02 \\ 2.2  \pm  0.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.93  \pm  0.03 \\ 2.2  \pm  0.06 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.45  \pm  0.12 \\ 2.2  \pm  0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.96  \pm  0.13 \\ 2.2  \pm  0.05 \end{array}$	

Journal of Applied Polymer Science DOI 10.1002/app



**Figure 3** Relative modulus  $(E/E_o)$  as a function of the CNT concentration.

$$W = \oint \sigma(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon \tag{4}$$

where  $\sigma(\varepsilon)$  is the stress as a function of the strain. Obviously, the higher the stress–strain curve is, the higher the energy absorption capacity is. As shown in Figure 4, among the composites, the recycled rubber free of CNTs had the lowest area under the stress–strain curve and, thus, had the weakest absorption, whereas the high concentration composites had the strongest absorption, as indicated in Table III. Compared with sample W0, the energy absorbed by sample W50 was 16.3 MJ/m<sup>3</sup>, whereas that absorbed by sample W0 was only around 1.47 MJ/m<sup>3</sup> according to eq. (4). On the other hand, the improvement in the absorption efficiency made the impact protection more effective and more reliable.

#### Cyclic softening and damage accumulation

Figure 5 shows the experimental cyclic stress–strain curves for 1, 5, 10, and 20 loading and unloading cycles. The permanent strain at the end of each cycle was observed. This indicated that irreversible damage had taken place and was apparently caused by rupture of the CNT network as a result of the accumulated dissipated energy. The accumulated dissipated energy gave an indication about the damage that took place in the samples. If the stress–strain relationship was linear, the damage (*D*) could be expressed by the following equation:<sup>17</sup>

$$D = \frac{\sigma_{1\max} - \sigma_{N\max}}{\sigma_{1\max}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{1\max} - \sigma_{N\max})\varepsilon_{\max}}{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{1\max}\varepsilon_{\max}} = \frac{\Delta E}{Constant}$$
(5)



Figure 4 Cyclic stress-strain for the recycled rubber at different CNT concentrations.

Journal of Applied Polymer Science DOI 10.1002/app

TABLE III Strain Energy Density for All of the Rubber Vulcanizates					
Sample					
	W <sub>0</sub>	W <sub>10</sub>	W <sub>30</sub>	W <sub>50</sub>	
W (MJ/m <sup>3</sup> )	$1.47 \pm 0.13$	5.76 ± 0.11	7.52 ± 0.15	16.30 ± 0.13	

where  $\sigma_{1\text{max}}$  is the maximum stress of the first cycle;  $\sigma_{N\text{max}}$  is the maximum stress of any other cycle, and  $\Delta E$  is the linear damage energy (also abbreviated as LDE).

When the stress–strain relationship was nonlinear, the damage component could be determined by the difference between the dissipated energy for the first loading path and the *N*th loading path. In this case, LDE (N) is expressed by the following equation:<sup>18</sup>

$$LDE(N) = \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} [\sigma_{ld}(1,\varepsilon) - \sigma_{ld}(N,\varepsilon)]d\varepsilon$$
 (6)

where  $\sigma_{ld}(1,\varepsilon)$  and  $\sigma_{ld}(N,\varepsilon)$  are the stress functions for loading the first and Nth cycles, respectively. The rate of damage accumulation was calculated with eq. (6) and is represented in Table IV. As shown in Table IV, after a large amount of damage took place over the first 10 cycles, the rate of damage accumulation became marginal with subsequent cycling.

## **Electrical properties**

Figure 5 represents the relation between  $\ln \sigma$  and 1000/T for the recycled-rubber-loaded CNTs. The slope of conductivity against the temperature increased with increasing CNT concentrations. In fact, the conductivity–temperature plot had two slopes, one in the low-temperature range 303–343 K, which depended on the sample type, and the other slope in the high-temperature range 343–453 K. In the low-temperature range (303–343 K), there was a small change in the conductivity against temperature because of the competition between two different mechanisms, the thermal expansion of the host rubber and the tunneling or hopping mechanism. After



**Figure 5** In  $\sigma$  versus 1000/*T* for different concentrations of recycled-rubber-loaded CNTs.

a temperature of 343 K, a thermal activation took place that caused a progressive increase in the conductivity against temperature. The temperature dependence of the conductivity could be interpreted on the basis of an Arrhenius equation.<sup>19</sup> The activation energy ( $E_a$ ) values for the samples were calculated for the two different ranges of temperature and are presented in Table V.

With increasing CNT concentration, the value of  $E_a$  decreased.  $E_a$  at the high-temperature region was greater than that at the low-temperature region. Generally, we concluded that the mechanical and electrical properties of the waste rubber were improved by its recycling and also by the addition of the CNTs.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

Rubber from wet tires was successfully recycled. This recycled rubber was filled with different amounts of CNTs. The SEM images indicated that the aspect ratio of the CNTs after the mixture with recycled rubber ( $\sim 68$ ) was less than that before the mixture ( $\sim 83$ ). This may have been due to the destruction of CNTs during the mixture with rubber

 TABLE IV

 Damage Accumulation (LDE) at Different Number of Cyclic Stress-Strain

	LDE (MJ/m <sup>3</sup> )					
Sample	1 cycle	5 cycles	10 cycles	20 cycles	50 cycles	100 cycles
$W_0 \ W_{20} \ W_{30} \ W_{50}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06  \pm  0.01 \\ 0.11  \pm  0.03 \\ 0.21  \pm  0.02 \\ 0.48  \pm  0.13 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.23 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.43 \pm 0.06 \\ 0.83 \pm 0.25 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.11  \pm  0.01 \\ 0.45  \pm  0.02 \\ 0.65  \pm  0.08 \\ 1.15  \pm  0.21 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16  \pm  0.02 \\ 0.52  \pm  0.12 \\ 1.12  \pm  0.14 \\ 2.02  \pm  0.12 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16  \pm  0.01 \\ 0.53  \pm  0.11 \\ 1.13  \pm  0.10 \\ 2.03  \pm  0.10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16  \pm  0.03 \\ 0.51  \pm  0.14 \\ 1.11  \pm  0.26 \\ 2.01  \pm  0.11 \end{array}$

TABLE V					
Calculated Values of <i>E<sub>a</sub></i> for the Recycled					
Rubber Samples					

	Sample			
	W <sub>0</sub>	W <sub>10</sub>	W <sub>30</sub>	W <sub>50</sub>
Low-temperature $E_a$ (meV) High-temperature $E_a$ (meV)	3.67 7.23	2.83 5.15	1.64 3.60	0.81 1.76

in the mill roll. The stress–strain test showed that there was a good adhesion force between the CNT filler and rubber. The mechanical measurements also showed that the stiffnesses of the recycled rubber increased by 6, 15, and 28 times as a result of the addition of different concentrations of the CNTs to the recycled rubber. Cyclic stress–strain measurements indicated that the hysteresis and the dissipation energy decreased as the number of cycles increased and increased as a function of the CNT concentration. The electrical measurements indicated that as the CNT concentration increased, the conductivity of the rubber composite increased by more than two orders.

#### References

- Guldi, D. M.; Rahman, G. M. A.; Jux, N.; Balbinot, D.; Tagmatarchis, N.; Prato, M. J Am Chem Soc 2005, 127, 9830.
- Lambin, P.; Fonseca, A.; Vigneron, J. P.; Nagy, J. B.; Lucas, A. A. Chem Phys Lett 1995, 245, 85.

- 4. Breuer, O.; Sundararaj, U. Polym Compos 2004, 25, 630.
- 5. Thostenson, E. T.; Li, C.; Chou, T. W. Compos Sci Technol 2005, 65, 491.
- Moisala, A.; Li, Q.; Kinloch, I. A.; Windle, A. H. Compos Sci Technol 2006, 66, 1285.
- Gou, J.; O'Braint, S.; Gu, H. C.; Song, G. B. J Nanomater 2006, 10, 3280.
- Gojny, F. H.; Wichmann, M. H. G.; Fiedler, B.; Kinloch, I. A.; Bauhofer, W.; Windle, A. H.; Schulte, K. Polymer 2006, 47, 2036.
- Shadler, L. S.; Giannaris, S. C.; Ajayana, P. M. Appl Phys Lett 1998, 73, 3842.
- Morales, G.; Barrena, M. I.; Gómez de Salazar, J. M.; Merino, C.; Rodríguez, D. Compos Struct 2010, 92, 1416.
- 11. Ishikawa, T. Adv Compos Mater 2006, 15, 3.
- 12. Mahmoud, W. E.; El-Eraki, M. H. I.; El-Lawindy, A. M. Y.; Hassan, H. H. J Phys D: Appl Phys 2006, 39, 2427.
- Mahmoud, W. E.; El-Eraki, M. H. I.; El-Lawindy, A. M. Y.; Hassan, H. H. J Phys D: Appl Phys 2006, 39, 541.
- 14. El-Eraki, M. H. I.; El-Lawindy, A. M. Y.; Hassan, H. H.; Mahmoud, W. E. J Polym Degrad Stab 2006, 91, 1417.
- 15. Mahmoud, W. E.; El-Lawindy, A. M. Y.; El-Erak, M. H. I.; Hassan, H. H. J Sens Actuators A 2007, 136, 229.
- El-Eraki, M. H. I.; El-Lawindy, A. M. Y.; Hassan, H. H.; Mahmoud, W. E. J Appl Polym Sci 2007, 103, 2837.
- Mahmoud, W. E.; Mansour, S. A.; Hafez, M.; Salam, M. A. J Polym Degrad Stab 2007, 92, 2011.
- Al-Ghamdi, A. A.; El-Tantawy, F.; Abdel Aal, N.; El-Mossalamy, E. H.; Mahmoud, W. E. Polym Degrad Stab 2009, 94, 980.
- El-Lawindy, A. M. Y.; El-Giziri, S. B. J Phys D: Appl Phys 2000, 33, 1894.